Weak perfect graph theorem

Weak perfect graph theorem (Lovász '72): G is perfect $\langle -- \rangle G$ is perfect.

Lovász' 2nd perfect graph theorem: G is perfect <--> For any induced subgraph H of G: $|V(H)| \leq J(H) \cdot w(H)$

is the size of the largest indpendent (sometimes called stable) set. Note that Remainder: $A \in V(G)$ is an independent set in graph G if no two elements of A are adjacent.

Lovász' 2nd perfect graph theorem implies the weak perfect graph theorem.

Proof: Let G be a perfect graph. Let \overline{H} be an induced subgraph of \overline{G} . In this case H is an induced subgr of G. Note that $\mathcal{L}(4) = \mathcal{U}(4)$ for any graph J. We utilize the 2nd perfect graph theorem. Since G is perfect: $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) \cdot \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}) \cdot \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) \geq |\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{H})| = |\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{H})|$

This holds for all induced subgraphs of \overline{G} , therefore by the 2nd perfect graph theorem, \overline{G} is perfect.

Proof of the 2nd perfect graph theorem: The direction that G is perfect --> $|V(H)| \leq L(H) \cdot w(H)$ is easy: Since G is perfect, X(H) = w(H) so there is a proper coloring of H with w(H) colors. Each color class in that coloring is an independent set, therefore its size is at most A(H). The coloring covers all the vertices of H, therefore $\mathcal{L}(H) w(H) \supseteq |V(H)|$. The opposite direction requires some definitions and lemmas to prove.

Definition: G is called imperferct if G is not perfect.

Definition: G is called minimal imperfect if G is imperfect but any induced subgraph H of G which is not G is perfect.

Clearly any imperfect graph contains a minimal imperfect induces subgraph.

Lemma 1:

Let G be a minimal imperfect graph and A be an independent set of G. Then $\omega(G \land A) = \omega(\zeta)$, where G\A is the induces subgraph of G whose vertex set is V(G)\A.

Proof: Indirectly assume that $\omega(G \land A) < \omega(G)$. Then: G is minimal imperfect, thus G \A is perfect and therefore $\chi(G \land A) = \omega(G \land A)$ Pick an optimal proper coloring of G \A, so it uses $\psi(G \land A)$ colors. Use this coloring for G while we introduce a new color for A. In this way we obtain a proper coloring of G with $\psi(G \land A) + 1$ colors.

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{G}) \leq \omega(\mathcal{G} \setminus \mathcal{A}) + 1 \leq \omega(\mathcal{G}) \leq \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{G}) = \omega(\mathcal{G}) \quad \forall \mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{G} \quad \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{H}) = \omega(\mathcal{H})$$

by the indirect assumption

G is minimal imperfect

But G cannot be imperfect and perfect at the same time, so this is a contradiction. $\prod_{i=1}^{n}$

Lemma 2:

Let G be a minimal imperfect graph. Let L = A(G) and $\omega = \omega(G)$. Then there is a collection of independent sets $A_{01}A_{11}A_{21} - A_{11}\omega_{1}A_{11}$

and cliques β_0 , β_1 , β_2 , β_{ω} , $\beta_{\omega+1}$, $\beta_{2\omega}$, $\beta_{2\omega+1}$, β_{ω} which satisfy:

$$4_{j} = A_{i} \cap B_{i} = \phi$$

$$2_{j} = \frac{1}{2} |A_{i}|_{j} = \frac{1}{2} |A_{i} \cap B_{j}| = 1$$
Proof:

Let $A \in \{\alpha_1, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k\}$ be a maximum independent set, so its size is L. G is minimal imperfect, so $(\zeta, \zeta_1, \zeta_2)$ is perfect. By lemma 1, $\chi(\zeta, \zeta_2, \zeta) = \psi(\zeta, \alpha, \zeta) = \psi(\zeta, \alpha, \zeta) = \psi(\zeta, \alpha, \zeta) = \omega$. Pick an optimal coloring of $\zeta \setminus \{\alpha_1, \zeta_2\}$ and denote its color classes by $A(i-1)\omega_{1,1} + (i-1)\omega_{1,2} + (i-1)\omega$

For any i, by lemma 1., $\mathcal{W}(G \setminus A_i) = \mathcal{W}(G)$ so for any Ai there is a maximum clique in G which is disjoint from Ai. Choose such a clique to be Bi.

Now we have the collections, we need to verify the 2 properties:

Let Bi be a clique from the clique collection:

Case 1: $A_0 \cap \mathcal{C}_{:=} \mathcal{O}$ Bi is a maximum clique in each $G \setminus a_k$, which is perfect, therefore it does not matter what optimal coloring of $G \setminus \{a_k\}$ is choosen, each color class intersect Bi. Therefore by the choice rule of Bi we have that i=0.

Case 2: $A_6 \cap \beta_1 \neq \phi$ Clearly the size of intersection of a clique and an independent set is either 0 or 1. So $A_0 \cap \beta_1 \models 1$. Let $\alpha_{1} = A_0 \cap \beta_1$

In G\{aj} where $j \neq k$ Bi is still a clique of size ω , so there is no matter what optimal coloring of G\{aj} is choosen, each color class intersect Bi. So $i \notin \{\omega_{(j-1)}+1, \omega_{(j-1)}+1, \omega_{(j-1)}$

Lemma 3:
Let
$$A_1 A_2 \dots A_m$$
 and $B_1 B_2 \dots B_m$ be subsets of an n element set which satisfy:
1) $\forall_{\lambda} : |A_1 \cap B_1| = 0$
2) $\forall_{\lambda' \setminus j} : |A_1 \cap B_j| = 1$
then $m \leq n$.

Proof:

Let A be an mxn matrix whose ith row is the characteristic vector of Ai and let B be an nxm matrix whose jth row is the characteristic vector of Bj. By the 2 conditions:

$$A \cdot B = C = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & . & . \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & . \\ 1 & 1 & . \\ . & . & . & . \\ 1 & . & . & . & . \\ 1 & . & . & . & . \\ 1 & . & . & . & . \end{bmatrix}$$
 where C is an mxm matrix

The deteriminant of C is not zero, therefore the rank of C is m. We know from linear algebra that min(n,m) \geq rank(B) \geq rank(A B)=rank(C)=m $\Rightarrow h^2 h$.

End of the proof of the 2nd perfect graph theorem: Now we show that if for all H induced subgraph of G $(V(H) \leq L(H) \cdot u(H) \Rightarrow$ G is perfect.

Let H be a minimal imperfect graph. By lemma 2, we can pick collections of independent sets and cliques of H: $A_{0}A_{1} \cdots A_{(H)} \cup H$ • $B_{0}B_{1} \cdots B_{(H)} \cup H$ which satisfy that: $U_{1} = 0$ and $U_{1} = 0$ and $U_{1} = 1$. So by lemma lemma 3 $U(H) \cup (H) + 1 \leq |V(H)|$.

Therefore if for all H induced subgraph of G $\checkmark(H) \cup (H) \ge (H) \lor (H)$ then G cannot contain a minimal imperfect subgraph and therefore it must be perfect.